FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 01/25/2019 04 04 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 142

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/25/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. ARLENE P. BLUTH		PART	IAS MOTION 32
		Justice		•
- H		X	INDEX NO.	653083/2017
AMAR JAMIL	for and on behalf of NEW LAHORI KABAB INC		MOTION DATE	N/A
	Plaintiff,		MOTION SEQ. NO.	005
	- V -			
122-24 LEXINGTON AVENUE CORP. IN CARE OF SKYLAND MANAGEMENT, WESCO INSURANCE COMPANY a/k/a AMTRUST NORTH AMERICA, DECISIO		DECISION AN	ON AND ORDER	
	Defendants.			
		X		
The following 126, 127, 128	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF doc , 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136,	ument nui 137, 138	mber (Motion 005) 12	2, 123, 124, 125,
were read on	were read on this motion to/forAMEND CAPTION/PLE		ND CAPTION/PLEAD	INGS
The n	notion for leave to amend is denied.			
:				
	1 1 2 CC C 11 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-1.4 41	amanad abanasa sas	Lodditions in the

As an initial matter, plaintiff failed to highlight the proposed changes and additions in the proposed amended complaint (see CPLR 3025[b]). The Court's role on a motion for leave to amend is to assess whether the proposed changes state a cause of action, not to guess about what changes were made.

Plaintiff's affirmation in support appears to request the addition of a new party, New Lahori Kabab Inc., but the proposed amended complaint does not specify which causes of action are alleged by which plaintiff (compare NYSCEF Doc. No. 122 with 128).

The Court also observes that the proposed amended complaint alleges claims against Wesco Insurance Company ("Wesco") despite the fact that this Court previously dismissed all claims against Wesco (NYSCEF Doc. No. 63).

And, finally, plaintiff failed to meet his prima facie burden to sufficiently articulate the basis for his motion. Plaintiff contends that he and New Lahori Kabab, Inc. are separate entities,

Page 1 of 2

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 01/25/20195043041PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 142

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/25/2019

but this Court has already found that "there is only one plaintiff, the corporation" (NYSCEF Doc. No. 114). Plaintiff provides no justification for the additional causes of action, did not explain why New Lahori Kabab should be added, and he did not even submit a reply to address defendants' opposition.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that the motion is denied.

Next Conference: May 7, 2019 at 2:15 p.m. By that time, the Court expects that both paper discovery and depositions will be completed. Failure to do so may result in penalties being imposed.

24 /· 3 8·/	19	GRBain_	
DATE		ARLENÉ P. BLUTH, J.S.C. BON ARLENE P. BLUT	~1 f
CHECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED	X NON-FINAL DISPOSITION	Н
	GRANTED X DENIED	GRANTED IN PART OTHER	
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER	SUBMIT ORDER	
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE	